IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION AT DAYTON

ALPHONZO H. HILL,

Case No. 3:12-cv-173

Plaintiff,

District Judge Walter H. Rice

VS.

Magistrate Judge Michael J. Newman

DOUGLAS M. RASTATTER, et al.,

:

:

:

Defendants.

DECISION AND ENTRY ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION (DOC. #16) IN ITS ENTIRETY; PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTIONS TO SAID JUDICIAL FILING (DOC. #17) OVERRULED; AND DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 60(b)(4) (DOC. #15)

Based upon the reasoning and citations of authority set forth by the United States Magistrate Judge, in his Report and Recommendation, filed February 25, 2013 (Doc. #16), as well as upon a thorough *de novo* review of this Court's file and the applicable law, this Court adopts the aforesaid Report and Recommendation in its entirety, and overrules Plaintiff's Objections (Doc. #17) thereto.

Plaintiff's motion for relief from judgment pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b)(4) (Doc. #15) is denied. The above captioned case shall remain terminated upon the Court's docket.

March <u>8</u>, 2013

WALTER HERBERT RICE, JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT